	Numeric Table - Codata 2018	
BASIC DATA		
<i>c</i> = 299792	$h = 6.62607015 \text{ x} 10^{-34} \text{ J s}$ $G = 6.6729196876 \text{ x} 10^{-34} \text{ J s}$	$0^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-2}$
STATIONARY PARTICLE - PLANCE		42
Planck time <i>t</i> <sub>p</sub>	$(\pi h G/c^5)^{1/2}$	2.39501999x10 <sup>-43</sup>
Planck mass M	$h/t_p c^2$	3.078261289x10 <sup>-8</sup>
Quantized Planck mass $M_0$	$Mt_p^{1/2}$	1.50646849x10 <sup>-29</sup>
Planck impedance $Z_p$	$(M_0/\hbar)^{1/2}$	377.95661
Planck permittivity $\varepsilon_p$	$1/Z_p c = (M_0/2\pi M)^{1/2} = (t_p/4\pi^2)^{1/4}$	8.82546x10 <sup>-12</sup>
Planck charge $Q$	$M(4\pi\varepsilon_p G)^{1/2}$	2.648116197x10 <sup>-18</sup>
ROTATING PARTICLE - INITIAL E	ELECTRON STATE	
Toroid ratio unitary charge squared/unitary time $w_u$	$16\pi^4 Q_u^2/t_u$	1558.54545654
Initial fine structure $\alpha_0$	$(w_u t_p)^{1/2}/Q$	7.295873082x10 <sup>-3</sup>
Initial charge $e_0$	$(w_u t_p / \alpha_0 (2 - \alpha_0))^{1/2}$	1.60233848x10 <sup>-19</sup>
Initial mass $m_b$	$M_0(\alpha_0/2)^{1/2}(1-\alpha_0/2)^{3/8}$	9.08632986x10 <sup>-31</sup>
<b>RELATIONS AMONG CONSTANTS</b> (CODATA 2018 ELECTRON CHARGE $e = 1.6021766340 \times 10^{-19}$ )		
Theoretic charge $e_t$	$(w_u t_p/\alpha(2-\alpha))^{1/2}$	1.6021766364x10 <sup>-19</sup>
Permittivity $\varepsilon_0$	$\varepsilon_p(\alpha_0/\alpha)^2(e/e_t)^2/(1-\alpha/2)$	8.8541878128x10 <sup>-12</sup>
standard vacuum fine structure: negative strong	$\alpha^3 - 2\alpha^2 + w_u t_p / 2\varepsilon_0 hc \left(e_t / e\right)^2 = 0$	$\alpha = 7.29735256929 \times 10^{-3}$ $\alpha_n = -7.2708233367 \times 10^{-3}$ $\alpha_{sv} = 1.9999734707674$
Newton's G with current data	$8\hbar c^3 (\alpha^2 (2-\alpha)/\mu_0 w_u)^2 = (c^5/\pi h) (\alpha (2-\alpha) e^2/w_u)^2$	6.6729196475x10 <sup>-11</sup>
ELECTRON DATA		
electron fine structure: standard strong	$\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + w_u t_p / e_t^2 = 0$	$\alpha = 7.29735256929 \times 10^{-3}$ $\alpha_s = 1.99270264743$
Mass m <sub>e</sub>	$M_0(\alpha/2)^{1/2}(\alpha/\alpha_0)^{12}((1-\alpha/2)(2-\alpha)/(2-\alpha_0))^{3/8}$	9.1093837015x10 <sup>-31</sup>
Gravitational force $F_g = Gm_e^2$	$t_p \hbar c \alpha (\alpha / \alpha_0)^{24} ((1 - \alpha / 2) (2 - \alpha) / (2 - \alpha_0))^{3/4}$	5.5372469x10 <sup>-71</sup>
Electric force $F_e = e^2/4\pi\varepsilon_0$	$Q^2 \alpha / 8\pi \varepsilon_p = G M^2 \alpha / 2$	2.307077552x10 <sup>-28</sup>
Force ratio $F_g/F_e$	$\frac{g}{t_p(\alpha/\alpha_0)^{24}((1-\alpha/2)(2-\alpha)/(2-\alpha_0))^{3/4}} = (2/\alpha)(m_e/M)^2$	2.400113x10 <sup>-43</sup>
Bohr magneton $\mu_B$	$\frac{e\hbar/2m_e}{e\hbar/2m_e} = (e/e_t)(Q\hbar/M_0)(a_0/\alpha)^{13}(1-\alpha/2)^{1/8}((2-\alpha_0)/(2-\alpha))^{3/8}/(2-\alpha)$	9.2740100783x10 <sup>-24</sup>
Rotational speed $u_e$	$\frac{c(1-\alpha/2)^{1/2}}{c(1-\alpha/2)^{1/2}}$	299245035.3858
Compton wavelength $\lambda_c$	$\frac{1}{\pi G M^2 / m_e c^2}$	2.42631023867x10 <sup>-12</sup>
Unadjusted Larmor frequency $f_e$	$e/2\pi m_e$	2.799248987x10 <sup>10</sup>
MAGNETIC PROPERTIES	e/2nme	2./9924898/X10
Magnetic field ratio $B_e/B$	$\mu_0 f_e e/2\lambda_c = lpha/2\pi$	1.161409732887x10 <sup>-3</sup>
Interaction between applied field <i>B</i> and induced field $B_e$	$\frac{\mu_{0,pe}(r,2\pi_{c}-\alpha/2\pi)}{(\alpha/2\pi)(1-\alpha/2\pi)+\delta_{p}}$	1.16006087925x10 <sup>-3</sup>
Sensitivity to other physics $\delta_p$	Lorentz factor, strong and weak interaction, other (lamped)	1.893x10 <sup>-11</sup>
Fine structure in intrinsic magnetic moment $\alpha_m$	$\frac{\alpha/(1+\alpha/2\pi-(\alpha/2\pi)^2+\delta_p)^{1/13}}{\alpha/(1+\alpha/2\pi-(\alpha/2\pi)^2+\delta_p)^{1/13}}$	7.2967017932x10 <sup>-3</sup>
Magnetic moment mass $m_m$	$M_0(\alpha_m/2)^{1/2}(\alpha_m/\alpha_0)^{1/2}((1-\alpha_m/2)(2-\alpha_m)/(2-\alpha_0))^{3/8}$	9.09923648x10 <sup>-31</sup>
Magnetic moment charge $e_m$	$\frac{1}{(w_u t_p/a_m (2-a_m))^{1/2}}$	1.60224782x10 <sup>-19</sup>
Gyromagnetic ratio $\gamma_e$	$\frac{(w_u \iota_p \sigma \omega_m (2 - \omega_m))}{(e/e_t) e_m / m_m}$	1.76085963023x10 <sup>11</sup>
Magnetic moment $\mu_e$	$\frac{(e/e_l)e_m/m_m}{(e/e_l)e_m\hbar/2m_m}$	9.2847647043x10 <sup>-24</sup>
	$\frac{(e/e_{t})e_{m}n/2m_{m}}{\gamma_{e}/(e/m_{e})} = (\alpha/\alpha_{m})^{13}((2-\alpha)/(2-\alpha_{m}))^{5/4}$	1.00115965218128
$\mu_e/\mu_B$ ELECTRIC FIELD FROM GRAVITA		1.00113903210120
Materialization time $t_e$	$h/m_e c^2$	8.09329979x10 <sup>-21</sup>
Gravitational change $\Delta g$	$Gm_e/t_e$	7.51068012x10 <sup>-21</sup>
Electric field $e/4\pi\varepsilon_0$	$\frac{G_{M_e/t_e}}{\Delta g(e_t/e)(M/Q)(\alpha_0/\alpha)^{23}(2/\alpha)^{1/2}((2-\alpha_0)/(2-\alpha))^{3/4}/(1-\alpha/2)^{1/4}}$	1.439964548x10 <sup>-9</sup>
SUPERLUMINAL SPEED AND IMAG		1.757707570410
Imaginary selectron charge $\tilde{e}$	$\frac{(w_u t_p / \alpha_{sv})^{1/2} / \alpha_n^{1/2}}{(w_u t_p / \alpha_{sv})^{1/2} / \alpha_n^{1/2}}$	-1.6021766364x10 <sup>-19</sup> i
Imaginery selectron mass $m_s$	$\frac{(M_{H})^{1/2}(\alpha_{n}/\alpha_{0})^{1/2}((1-\alpha_{n}/2)(2-\alpha_{n})/(2-\alpha_{0}))^{3/8}}{M_{0}(\alpha_{n}/2)^{1/2}(\alpha_{n}/\alpha_{0})^{1/2}((1-\alpha_{n}/2)(2-\alpha_{n})/(2-\alpha_{0}))^{3/8}}$	8.7516489289x10 <sup>-31</sup> <i>i</i>
Superluminal photon speed $c_s$	$\frac{\tilde{e}^2/2\varepsilon_0 \alpha_n h(e_t/e)^2}{\tilde{e}^2/2\varepsilon_0 \alpha_n h(e_t/e)^2}$	300886318.1415
Superluminal rotating speed $u_s$		300336898.1328
Monopole magnetic charge $q_m$	$\frac{C(1-\alpha_n/2)}{C\tilde{e}} = \frac{C(1-\alpha_n/2)}{C\tilde{e}}$	-4.8032047198x10 <sup>-11</sup> <i>i</i>

## Calculation sequence

- 1) The adopted Planck time is higher by a factor  $\pi\sqrt{2}$  while the adopted Planck mass is higher by a factor  $\sqrt{2}$  if compared with the Codata values. This depends on the model used for the Planck particle. The adopted model allows the construction of a logical path leading to the electron and to other data including a negative fine structure constant and a superluminal speed as a consequence.
- 2) *G* was first calculated with the equation giving *G* in the previous page. If we had adopted this value for *G* we would have been able to calculate the fine structure constant  $\alpha$  directly from the electron equation. This procedure would not yield the correct value for some electron parameters such as mass, Compton wavelength, etc., because the electron charge is not the exact quantity as proposed in Codata 2018. So a slightly higher value for *G* was adopted as part of the basic data. The relation between the initial fine structure  $\alpha_0$  thus obtained and the fine structure  $\alpha$  allow us to get all known constants.
- 3) With the older Codata 2014 we had an exact permittivity and the fine structure could be derived directly from the vacuum fine structure equation. Two exact constants, *h* and *e*, introduced by Codata 2018, seem to be a step too far. It would have been better to retain permittivity and permeability as exact quantities because the actual electron charge is found to be slightly higher than its exact value.

The value for the electron charge proposed in Codata 2018 shows a small difference derived from the new relationships found in this study. As some electron data use the Codata electron charge, it is necessary to introduce a factor in the form of a ratio between the new electron charge and the one suggested by Codata in order to get the proper value for some of the electron parameters.

4) The calculated electron charge is 1.602 176 6364x10<sup>-19</sup> C, an increase of 1.5 ppb from the Codata value of 1.602 176 6340x10<sup>-19</sup> C. The calculated value for the electron charge is well within the deviation proposed before its adoption as an exact quantity where the electron charge is given at 1.602 176 6341(83)x10<sup>-19</sup> C, page 21.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320995786\_Data\_and\_analysis\_for\_the\_CODAT A\_2017\_Special\_Fundamental\_Constants\_Adjustment

## Outstanding points

- The constant of gravitation *G*, as given by Codata 2018, is about 9 standard deviations higher than the value used in this study. Although this latter value is close to the experiment performed by G. Rosi et al, <u>http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.7954</u>, the discrepancy has no easy explanation; there might be a difference between a quantum world involving the mentioned experiment and our macro-world where experiments are carried out with massive objects.
- The "sensitivity to other physics" is a hypothetical value and requires a confirmation.
- Particles rotating at superluminal speed are the answer to a supersymmetric universe but they need experimental verification, possibly through some subtle interactions with our real world.